Explicit Arithmetic of Modular Curves Lecture IV: Equations for Modular Curves

Samir Siksek (Warwick/IHÉS/IHP)

20 June 2019

Canonical Map

 $\begin{array}{ll} K & \mbox{field} \\ X & \mbox{curve of genus } g \geq 2 \\ \Omega(X) & \mbox{space of regular differentials on } X/K \\ & \mbox{this is a } K\mbox{-vector space of dimension } g. \end{array}$

Let $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_g$ be a *K*-basis for $\Omega(X)$.

The canonical map is the map

$$\phi: X \to \mathbb{P}^{g-1}, \qquad P \mapsto (\omega_1(P): \cdots: \omega_g(P)).$$

What does this mean? Let $f \in K(X) \setminus K$. Then every differential ω can be written as $\omega = hdf$ where $h \in K(X)$. So I can write $\omega_i = h_i df$, and then

$$\phi(P) = (h_1(P) : \cdots : h_g(P)).$$

Canonical Map for Genus 2 Curves

Consider a genus 2 curve

$$X$$
 : $y^2 = a_6 x^6 + \cdots + a_0$, $a_i \in K$, $\Delta(f) \neq 0$.

A basis for $\Omega(X)$ is

$$\omega_1 = \frac{dx}{y}, \qquad \omega_2 = \frac{xdx}{y}.$$

Note that $\omega_2/\omega_1 = x$. Thus

$$\phi: X \to \mathbb{P}^1, \qquad P \mapsto (1: x(P)).$$

Thus $\phi(X) = \mathbb{P}^1$.

 $\therefore \phi$ is **not** an isomorphism but is 2 to 1.

Canonical Map for Genus 3 Hyperelliptic

$$X : y^2 = a_8 x^8 + \cdots + a_0, \qquad a_i \in K, \qquad \Delta(f) \neq 0.$$

A basis for $\Omega(X)$ is

$$\omega_1 = \frac{dx}{y}, \qquad \omega_2 = \frac{xdx}{y}, \qquad \omega_3 = \frac{x^2dx}{y}.$$

$$\phi: X \to \mathbb{P}^2, \qquad \phi(x, y) = (1: x: x^2).$$

If we choose coordinates $(u_1: u_2: u_3)$ for \mathbb{P}^2 then the image is the conic

$$\phi(X) = C : u_1 u_3 = u_2^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2.$$

 $\therefore \phi: X \to \phi(X)$ is **not** an isomorphism but it is 2 to 1.

General Hyperelliptic

A hyperelliptic curve of genus g can be written as

$$X : y^2 = a_{2g+2}x^{2g+2} + \cdots + a_0, \qquad a_i \in K, \qquad \Delta(f) \neq 0.$$

A basis for $\Omega(X)$ is

$$\frac{dx}{y}, \ \frac{xdx}{y}, \ldots, \ \frac{x^{g-1}dx}{y}.$$

Check that $\phi: X \to \phi(X) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is 2 to 1.

Theorem

- Let X be a curve of genus ≥ 2 .
 - If X is hyperelliptic then $\phi(X) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and the canonical map $\phi: X \to \phi(X)$ is 2 to 1.
 - If X is non-hyperelliptic then $\phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ is an embedding (so X is isomorphic to $\phi(X)$). Moreover $\phi(X)$ is a curve of degree 2g 2.

We focus on those modular curves whose genus is ≥ 2 .

Recall the isomorphism

$$S_2(\Gamma_H) \cong \Omega(X_H), \qquad f(q) \mapsto f(q) rac{dq}{q}.$$

Let f_1, \ldots, f_g be a basis for $S_2(\Gamma_H)$.

The canonical map is given by

$$\phi: X_H \to \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$$

$$\phi = (f_1(q)\frac{dq}{q}: \cdots: f_g(q)\frac{dq}{q}) = (f_1(q): \cdots: f_g(q))$$

Example $X_0(30)$

A basis for $S_2(\Gamma_0(30))$ is

$$egin{aligned} f_1 &= q - q^4 - q^6 - 2q^7 + q^9 + O(q^{10}), \ f_2 &= q^2 - q^4 - q^6 - q^8 + O(q^{10}), \ f_3 &= q^3 + q^4 - q^5 - q^6 - 2q^7 - 2q^8 + O(q^{10}). \end{aligned}$$

 $\therefore X = X_0(30)$ has genus 3.

By theorem,

- either X is hyperelliptic;
- or X ≅ φ(X) is a curve in P^{g-1} = P² which has degree 2g − 2 = 4;
 i.e. φ(X) is a plane quartic curve.

Which is it?

If X is hyperelliptic then $\phi(X)$ is a conic.

(Note in this case that $f_1(q)dq/q, \ldots, f_3(q)dq/q$ and $dx/y, xdx/y, x^2dx/y$ don't have to be the same basis for $\Omega(X)$. The two bases are related by a linear transformation. So $\phi(X)$ might be a different conic than before.)

$$\phi(X) = \text{conic iff } \exists a_1, \dots, a_6 \text{ (not all zero) such that}$$
$$a_1 f_1^2 + a_2 f_2^2 + a_3 f_3^2 + a_4 f_1 f_2 + a_5 f_1 f_3 + a_6 f_2 f_3 = 0.$$

$$\begin{split} f_1^2 &= q^2 - 2q^5 - 2q^7 - 3q^8 + 4q^{10} + O(q^{11}) \\ f_2^2 &= q^4 - 2q^6 - q^8 + O(q^{12}) \\ f_3^2 &= q^6 + 2q^7 - q^8 - 4q^9 - 5q^{10} - 6q^{11} + q^{12} + O(q^{13}) \\ f_1f_2 &= q^3 - q^5 - q^6 - q^7 - 3q^9 + 2q^{10} + O(q^{11}) \\ f_1f_3 &= q^4 + q^5 - q^6 - 2q^7 - 3q^8 - 2q^9 - 2q^{10} + O(q^{11}) \\ f_2f_3 &= q^5 + q^6 - 2q^7 - 2q^8 - 2q^9 - 2q^{10} + 2q^{11} + O(q^{12}). \end{split}$$

 $\phi(X) = \text{conic iff } \exists a_1, \ldots, a_6 \text{ (not all zero) such that}$

$$a_1f_1^2 + a_2f_2^2 + a_3f_3^2 + a_4f_1f_2 + a_5f_1f_3 + a_6f_2f_3 = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^2 &= q^2 - 2q^5 - 2q^7 - 3q^8 + 4q^{10} + O(q^{11}) \\ f_2^2 &= q^4 - 2q^6 - q^8 + O(q^{12}) \\ f_3^2 &= q^6 + 2q^7 - q^8 - 4q^9 - 5q^{10} - 6q^{11} + q^{12} + O(q^{13}) \\ f_1f_2 &= q^3 - q^5 - q^6 - q^7 - 3q^9 + 2q^{10} + O(q^{11}) \\ f_1f_3 &= q^4 + q^5 - q^6 - 2q^7 - 3q^8 - 2q^9 - 2q^{10} + O(q^{11}) \\ f_2f_3 &= q^5 + q^6 - 2q^7 - 2q^8 - 2q^9 - 2q^{10} + 2q^{11} + O(q^{12}). \end{aligned}$$

- Coefficient of $q^2 \implies a_1 = 0.$
- Coefficient of $q^3 \implies a_4 = 0$.
- Coefficient of q^4 , q^5 , q^6 give

 $a_2 + a_5 = 0,$ $a_5 + a_6 = 0,$ $-2a_2 + a_3 - a_5 + a_6 = 0$

There is only one solution (up to scaling) which is

$$a_2 = 1$$
, $a_3 = 0$, $a_5 = -1$, $a_6 = 1$.

$$\therefore \quad f_2^2 - f_1 f_3 + f_2 f_3 = 0 + O(q^7).$$

In fact we can check that

$$f_2^2 - f_1 f_3 + f_2 f_3 = 0 + O(q^{100}).$$

Question. Do we know that $f_2^2 - f_1 f_3 + f_2 f_3 = 0$ exactly? If so then the image is the conic

$$u_2^2-u_1u_3+u_2u_3=0 \qquad \subset \mathbb{P}^2,$$

and X is hyperelliptic.

In fact we can check that

$$f_2^2 - f_1 f_3 + f_2 f_3 = 0 + O(q^{100})$$

Question. Do we know that $f_2^2 - f_1f_3 + f_2f_3 = 0$ exactly? If so then the image is the conic

$$u_2^2-u_1u_3+u_2u_3=0\qquad \subset \mathbb{P}^2,$$

and X is hyperelliptic.

Theorem (Sturm)

Let Γ be a congruence subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of index m. Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$ and suppose $\operatorname{ord}_q(f) > km/12$. Then f = 0.

Theorem (Sturm)

Let Γ be a congruence subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of index m. Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$ and suppose $\operatorname{ord}_q(f) > km/12$. Then f = 0.

Let $f = f_2^2 - f_1 f_3 + f_2 f_3$.

 f_1 , f_2 , f_3 are cusp forms for $\Gamma_0(30)$ of weight 2.

 \therefore f is a cusp form for $\Gamma_0(30)$ of weight k = 4.

$$[SL_2(\mathbb{Z}):\Gamma_0(N)] = N \prod_{p|N} (1+1/p).$$

$$N = 30 \implies m = 30(1+1/2)(1+1/3)(1+1/5) = 72 \implies \frac{km}{12} = 36.$$

Since $\operatorname{ord}_q(f) \ge 100$ we know from Sturm that f = 0.

 $\therefore X_0(30)$ is hyperelliptic.

$X_0(45)$

Repeat $X_0(45)$. A basis for $S_2(\Gamma_0(45))$ is

$$egin{aligned} g_1 &= q - q^4 + O(q^{10}), \ g_2 &= q^2 - q^5 - 3q^8 + O(q^{10}), \ g_3 &= q^3 - q^6 - q^9 + O(q^{10}). \end{aligned}$$

 \therefore $X_0(45)$ has genus 3. Is it hyperelliptic? i.e. Is the canonical image a conic? Again we look for a_1, \ldots, a_6 such that

$$a_1g_1^2 + a_2g_2^2 + a_3g_3^2 + a_4g_1g_2 + a_5g_1g_3 + a_6g_2g_3 = 0.$$

By solving the resulting system of linear equations from the coefficients of q^2, \ldots, q^{10} we find that all the $a_i = 0$.

∴ image is not a conic.

 $\therefore X_0(45)$ is **not** hyperelliptic, and the image is a plane quartic.

Write down an equation for this plane quartic!

- Look at all 10 monomials of degree 4 in g₁, g₂, g₃.
- Want a linear combination which is 0.
- By solving the system resulting from the coefficients of q^j up to q²⁰ we find a unique solution (up to scaling).

This unique solution gives us our degree 4 model:

$$X_0(45) : x_0^3 x_2 - x_0^2 x_1^2 + x_0 x_1 x_2^2 - x_1^3 x_2 - 5 x_2^4 \qquad \subset \mathbb{P}^2$$

Did we need to check up to the Sturm bound? Not this time!

- Already proved that $X_0(45)$ is not hyperelliptic.
- So we know that the canonical image is a quartic.
- We solved for this quartic and found only one solution.
- So that must be the correct quartic.

Return to $X_0(30)$

Know this is hyperelliptic and so has a model

$$y^2 = h(x), \qquad h = a_8 x^8 + \cdots + a_0.$$

The model is **not** unique. If (u, v) is any point on this model, we then we can change the model to move this point to infinity:

$$x' = rac{1}{x-u}, \qquad y' = rac{y}{(x-u)^4}.$$

The new model has the form

$${y'}^2 = v^2 {x'}^8 + \cdots$$

If v = 0 (i.e. the original point was a Weierstrass point) then we would end up with ${y'}^2 = \text{degree 7}$ but otherwise it is ${y'}^2 = \text{degree 8}$.

Now the infinity cusp c_{∞} is a point on $X_0(30)$. Let's move c_{∞} to infinity on the hyperelliptic model. Question: Do we obtain a degree 7 model or a degree 8 model?

Exercise.

(i) Let

$$X : y^2 = a_{2g+2}x^{2g+2} + \dots + a_0$$

be a curve of genus g where $a_{2g+2} \neq 0$. Let ∞_+ be one of the two points at infinity. Show that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\infty_+}\left(\frac{dx}{y}\right) = g - 1, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{\infty_+}\left(\frac{xdx}{y}\right) = g - 2, \dots,$$

(ii) Let

$$X : y^2 = a_{2g+1}x^{2g+1} + \dots + a_0$$

be a curve of genus g (here necessarily $a_{2g+1} \neq 0$ otherwise the genus would be smaller than g). Let ∞ be the unique point at infinity. Show that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\infty}\left(\frac{dx}{y}\right) = 2(g-1), \quad \operatorname{ord}_{\infty}\left(\frac{xdx}{y}\right) = 2(g-2), \dots,$$

Recall that basis for $S_2(\Gamma_0(30))$ is

$$\begin{split} f_1 &= q - q^4 - q^6 - 2q^7 + q^9 + O(q^{10}), \\ f_2 &= q^2 - q^4 - q^6 - q^8 + O(q^{10}), \\ f_3 &= q^3 + q^4 - q^5 - q^6 - 2q^7 - 2q^8 + O(q^{10}). \\ \text{ord}_{c_{\infty}}\left(f_1(q)\frac{dq}{q}\right) &= 0, \quad \text{ord}_{c_{\infty}}\left(f_2(q)\frac{dq}{q}\right) = 1, \quad \text{ord}_{c_{\infty}}\left(f_3(q)\frac{dq}{q}\right) = 2. \\ &\therefore \quad \text{ord}_{c_{\infty}}(\omega) \leq 2, \qquad \forall \omega \in \Omega(X) \setminus \{0\}. \end{split}$$

But if $c_{\infty} = \infty$ on $y^2 =$ degree 7 model, then there is some ω with $\operatorname{ord}_{c_{\infty}}(\omega) = 4$.

 \therefore When we move c_{∞} to ∞ we get a $y^2 =$ degree 8 model.

$$X : y^2 = a_8 x^8 + a_7 x^7 + \dots + a_0, \qquad a_8 \neq 0, \qquad c_{\infty} = \infty_+.$$

$$\operatorname{ord}_{c_{\infty}}\left(f_{1}(q)\frac{dq}{q}\right) = 0, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{c_{\infty}}\left(f_{2}(q)\frac{dq}{q}\right) = 1, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{c_{\infty}}\left(f_{3}(q)\frac{dq}{q}\right) = 2.$$
$$\operatorname{ord}_{\infty_{+}}\left(\frac{dx}{y}\right) = 2, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{\infty_{+}}\left(x\frac{dx}{y}\right) = 1, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{\infty_{+}}\left(x^{2}\frac{dx}{y}\right) = 0.$$

From the valutions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{y} &= \alpha_3 \cdot f_3(q) \frac{dq}{q}, \\ \frac{xdx}{y} &= \beta_2 \frac{f_2(q)dq}{q} + \beta_3 \frac{f_3(q)dq}{q}, \\ \frac{x^2 dx}{y} &= \gamma_1 \frac{f_1(q)dq}{q} + \gamma_2 \frac{f_2(q)dq}{q} + \gamma_3 \frac{f_3(q)dq}{q}, \end{aligned}$$

where α_3 , β_2 and $\gamma_1 \neq 0$.

$$X : y^2 = a_8 x^8 + a_7 x^7 + \dots + a_0, \qquad a_8 \neq 0, \qquad c_{\infty} = \infty_+.$$



The change of hyperelliptic model

$$x \mapsto rx, \qquad y \mapsto sy$$

preserve points at infinity but has the effect

$$\frac{dx}{y}\mapsto (r/s)\frac{dx}{y},\qquad \frac{xdx}{y}\mapsto (r^2/s)\frac{xdx}{y},\qquad \ldots$$

Thus we can make $\alpha_3 = 1$ and $\beta_2 = 1$.

$$X : y^{2} = a_{8}x^{8} + a_{7}x^{7} + \dots + a_{0}, \qquad a_{8} \neq 0, \qquad c_{\infty} = \infty_{+},$$
$$\frac{dx}{y} = f_{3}(q)\frac{dq}{q},$$
$$\frac{xdx}{y} = \frac{f_{2}(q)dq}{q} + \beta_{3}\frac{f_{3}(q)dq}{q},$$
$$\frac{x^{2}dx}{y} = \gamma_{1}\frac{f_{1}(q)dq}{q} + \gamma_{2}\frac{f_{2}(q)dq}{q} + \gamma_{3}\frac{f_{3}(q)dq}{q},$$

The change of model

$$x\mapsto x+t, \qquad y\mapsto y.$$

preserves the points at infinity and has the effect

$$\frac{dx}{y}\mapsto \frac{dx}{y}, \qquad \frac{xdx}{y}\mapsto \frac{xdx}{y}+t\frac{dx}{y}.$$

So we can suppose $\beta_3 = 0$. i.e.

$$\frac{dx}{y} = f_3(q)\frac{dq}{q}, \qquad \frac{xdx}{y} = f_2(q)\frac{dq}{q}.$$

$$X : y^2 = a_8 x^8 + a_7 x^7 + \dots + a_0, \qquad a_8 \neq 0, \qquad c_{\infty} = \infty_+.$$

$$\frac{dx}{y} = f_3(q) \frac{dq}{q}, \qquad \frac{xdx}{y} = f_2(q) \frac{dq}{q}.$$

$$x = f_2(q)/f_3(q) = \frac{1}{q} - 1 + q - q^2 + 2q^3 - 2q^4 + 2q^5 - 3q^6 + 5q^7 - 5q^8 + 5q^9 + \cdots$$

$$y = \frac{dx}{dq} \cdot \frac{q}{f_3(q)} = -\frac{1}{q^4} + \frac{1}{q^3} - \frac{1}{q^2} - \frac{1}{q} + 5 - 15q + 29q^2 - 60q^3 + 118q^4 - 210q^5 + 346q^6 - 573q^7 + 929q^8 - 1454q^9 + \cdots$$

By comparing the coefficients of q^{-8} on both sides we see that $a_8 = 1$.

$$X : y^2 = x^8 + a_7 x^7 + \dots + a_0, \qquad c_{\infty} = \infty_+,$$

$$x = \frac{1}{q} - 1 + q - q^2 + 2q^3 - 2q^4 + 2q^5 - 3q^6 + 5q^7 - 5q^8 + 5q^9 + \cdots$$

$$y^2 - x^8 = \frac{6}{q^7} - \frac{33}{q^6} + \cdots$$

so $a_7 = 6$. Also $y^2 - x^8 - 6x^7 = \frac{9}{q^6} - \frac{48}{q^5} + \cdots$

so $a_6 = 9$. Continuing in this fashion we arrive at

$$y^{2} - x^{8} - 6x^{7} - 9x^{6} - 6x^{5} + 4x^{4} + 6x^{3} - 9x^{2} + 6x - 1 = O(q^{100}).$$

Therefore, a model for $X_0(30)$ is

$$X_0(30)$$
 : $y^2 = x^8 + 6x^7 + 9x^6 + 6x^5 - 4x^4 - 6x^3 + 9x^2 - 6x + 1$

The Modular Curve X_H

 $H \leq \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$

- An isomorphism $\alpha : E[N] \to (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ a level N structure on E.
- A level N-structure is same as choice of basis for E[N]: $P = \alpha^{-1}(e_1)$, $Q = \alpha^{-1}(e_2)$ where $e_1 = (1, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1)$.
- We call pairs (E_1, α_1) and (E_2, α_2) H-isomorphic, and write

 $(E_1, \alpha_1) \sim_H (E_2, \alpha_2)$

if there is an isom $\phi: E_1 \to E_2$ and an element $h \in H$ such that

 $\alpha_1 = h \circ \alpha_2 \circ \phi$ (think of $h \in H$ as $h : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$).

Suppose det(H) = $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$. Then there is a modular curve X_H defined over Spec($\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$) such that ...

K be a perfect field, char(K) = 0, or $char(K) \nmid N$.

A point Q ∈ Y_H(K) represents class [(E, α)]_H where E/K, α a mod N level structure;

• we identify
$$Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H$$
.

Lemma

Let $Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H \in Y_H(\overline{K})$. Let E'/\overline{K} be an elliptic curve that is isomorphic to E. Then there is some isomorphism $\alpha' : E'[N] \to (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that $Q = [(E', \alpha')]_H$.

i.e. I can replace E by any isomorphic E' and obtain the same point $Q \in Y_H$ provided I suitably choose the mod N level structure on E'.

Galois action and rationality

 G_K acts on pairs (E, α) $(E, \alpha)^{\sigma} := (E^{\sigma}, \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1}).$ Action is compatible with action of G_K on $Y_H(\overline{K})$:

$$Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H \implies Q^{\sigma} = [(E^{\sigma}, \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1})]_H.$$

Lemma

Let $Q \in Y_H(\overline{K})$. Then $Q \in Y_H(K)$ iff $Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H$ for some E/K, $\alpha : E[N] \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that for all $\sigma \in G_K$, there is an $\phi_\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\overline{K}}(E)$ and $h_\sigma \in H$ satisfying

$$\alpha = h_{\sigma} \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \phi_{\sigma}. \tag{1}$$

The case $-I \notin H$

Theorem

Suppose det $(H) = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $-I \in H$.

- (i) Every $Q \in Y_H(K)$ is supported on some E/K (i.e. $\exists E/K$ and $\alpha : E[N] \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that $Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H$.
- (ii) If $Q \in Y_H(K)$ and $j(Q) \neq 0$, 1728, then $Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H$ such that E is defined over K and $\overline{\rho}_{E,N}(G_K) \subset H$ (up to conjugation). Conversely, if there is E is defined over K and $\overline{\rho}_{E,N}(G_K) \subset H$ (up to conjugation) then $[(E, \alpha)] \in Y_H(K)$ for a suitable α .
- (iii) If $Q \in Y_H(K)$ and $j(Q) \neq 0$, 1728, and $Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H$ as above, then $Q = [(E', \alpha')]$ for any quadratic twist E'/K defined over K, and for suitable α' .

Theorem

Suppose det $(H) = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $-I \in H$.

(ii) If $Q \in Y_H(K)$ and $j(Q) \neq 0$, 1728, then $Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H$ such that E is defined over K and $\overline{\rho}_{E,N}(G_K) \subset H$ (up to conjugation). Conversely, if there is E is defined over K and $\overline{\rho}_{E,N}(G_K) \subset H$ (up to conjugation) then $[(E, \alpha)] \in Y_H(K)$ for a suitable α .

Some details for (ii). Note that j(Q) = j(E). As this $\neq 0$, 1728, the automorphism group Aut $(E) = \{1, -1\}$. Thus $\phi_{\sigma} = \pm 1$ and in particular commutes with all other maps. But

$$\alpha = h_{\sigma} \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \phi_{\sigma} \implies \alpha \circ \sigma = (\phi_{\sigma} h_{\sigma}) \circ \alpha.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\overline{
ho}_{E,N}(\sigma) = \phi_{\sigma} h_{\sigma}$$

once we have taken $\alpha^{-1}(1,0)$, $\alpha^{-1}(0,1)$ as basis for E[N]. Note that $\phi_{\sigma}h_{\sigma} = \pm h_{\sigma} \in H$. Thus $\overline{\rho}_{E,N}(G_{K}) \subseteq H$ as required.

The case $-I \notin H$

Theorem

Suppose det(H) = $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $-I \notin H$.

- (i) Every $Q \in Y_H(K)$ is supported on some E/K (i.e. $\exists E/K$ and $\alpha : E[N] \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ such that $Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H$.
- (ii) If $Q \in Y_H(K)$ and $j(Q) \neq 0$, 1728, then $Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H$ such that E is defined over K and $\overline{\rho}_{E,N}(G_K) \subset H$ (up to conjugation). Conversely, if there is E is defined over K and $\overline{\rho}_{E,N}(G_K) \subset H$ (up to conjugation) then $[(E, \alpha)] \in Y_H(K)$ for a suitable α .
- (iii) If $Q \in Y_H(K)$ and $j(Q) \neq 0$, 1728, and $Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H$ as above, then E is unique.

Theorem

Suppose det $(H) = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $-I \notin H$.

- (ii) If $Q \in Y_H(K)$ and $j(Q) \neq 0$, 1728, then $Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H$ such that E is defined over K and $\overline{\rho}_{E,N}(G_K) \subset H$ (up to conjugation). Conversely, if there is E is defined over K and $\overline{\rho}_{E,N}(G_K) \subset H$ (up to conjugation) then $[(E, \alpha)] \in Y_H(K)$ for a suitable α .
- (iii) If $Q \in Y_H(K)$ and $j(Q) \neq 0$, 1728, and $Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H$ as above, then *E* is unique.

Some details. As before $\phi_{\sigma} \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\overline{\rho}_{E,N}(\sigma) = \phi_{\sigma}h_{\sigma}$. The map $\psi : \sigma \mapsto \phi_{\sigma}$ is a quadratic character.

If ψ is trivial then $\overline{\rho}_{E,N}(G_K) \subset H$. Otherwise ψ is a quadratic character, and by Galois theory its kernel fixes a quadratic extension $K(\sqrt{d})$ of K.

Now
$$\overline{\rho}_{E_d,N} = \psi \cdot \overline{\rho}_{E,N}$$
, and thus $\overline{\rho}_{E_d,N}(\sigma) = h_{\sigma} \in H$.

Replacing *E* by E_d and adjusting the level structure α gives $Q = [(E, \alpha)]_H$ with *E* defined over *K* and $\overline{\rho}_{E,N}(G_K) \subset H$.